The Ecclesiastical Imperium: The Rise of the Church, Roman Legitimacy, and the Politics of Martyrdom (70–313 A.D.)

I. Introduction

The transformation of the Christian movement from a marginal, eschatological Jewish sect into the dominant religious and political force of the Mediterranean world is one of the most profound revolutions in Western history. Between the destruction of the Second Temple in 70 A.D. and the Edict of Milan in 313 A.D., the Church did not merely expand in numbers; it underwent a radical structural metamorphosis. It evolved from a charismatic, loosely networked association of house churches into a rigid, universal institution—a "State within a State"—governed by a monarchical episcopate that mirrored the imperial administration it would eventually inherit.

This report provides an exhaustive analysis of this period, focusing on the triadic relationship between ecclesiastical consolidation, Roman legal hostility, and the phenomenon of martyrdom. Central to this inquiry is the question of motivation: to what extent was the early Christian willingness to die driven by pure "faith in Christ," and to what extent was it intertwined with the geopolitical and ecclesiastical aspirations of an emerging hierarchy?

The analysis posits that while the primary motivation for the individual martyr was often a genuine, transcendent loyalty to the person of Jesus Christ, the *phenomenon* of martyrdom was rapidly politicized by both the Roman state and the Church hierarchy. For Rome, execution was a tool of geopolitical stabilization, an attempt to excise a "cancerous" body that threatened the *pax deorum* (peace of the gods) and the unity of the Empire. For the ecclesiastical hierarchy, the blood of the martyrs became a form of spiritual capital—a resource to be managed, interpreted, and utilized to cement the authority of the bishop against both internal heretics and external persecutors. In this crucible, the "aspiration" of the Church was not merely survival, but the construction of a counter-sovereignty that claimed a legitimacy superior to that of Caesar.

II. The Rise and Growth of Ecclesiastical Power (70–313 A.D.)

The development of the Church's internal power structure was neither linear nor inevitable. It was a reactive evolution, forged in the fires of internal heresy and external pressure. The trajectory moved from a "primitive presbyterian" model of plurality to a centralized

"monarchical episcopate," creating a unified administrative grid that spanned the Empire.[1]

A. From Charisma to Office: The Consolidation of the Episcopate

In the apostolic and immediate post-apostolic period (c. 70–100 A.D.), church governance was fluid. The New Testament and early documents like the *Didache* and the *First Epistle of Clement* reveal a structure based on a plurality of elders (*presbyteroi*) and overseers (*episkopoi*), terms often used interchangeably to denote a collective leadership.[1] Authority was vested in the "tradition" of the apostles and the charismatic movement of the Holy Spirit rather than in a single executive office.

However, the second century witnessed a rapid verticalization of power. The primary catalyst for this shift was the crisis of identity. As the Church separated from the Synagogue and expanded into the Gentile world, it faced the centrifugal forces of Gnosticism, Marcionism, and Montanism—movements that claimed special, secret knowledge (*gnosis*) or new prophetic revelations.[3] To preserve the "Rule of Faith" (*regula fidei*), the proto-orthodox community required a guarantor of unity.

1. The Ignatian Turning Point

Ignatius of Antioch (d. c. 108–140 A.D.) stands as the first unequivocal architect of the monarchical episcopate. In his letters, written while en route to execution in Rome, Ignatius articulated a radical ecclesiology where the bishop is not merely a leader but the constitutive element of the Church.[1]

- **The Bishop as God's Icon:** Ignatius argued that the bishop sits "in the place of God," the presbyters in the place of the apostolic council, and the deacons represent the ministry of Christ.
- **Liturgical Monopoly:** He asserted that "apart from the bishop, there is no church." No baptism, eucharist, or love-feast (*agape*) was valid without the bishop's sanction.[2]

This was not just theology; it was political science applied to the spiritual community. By centering all valid ritual and teaching authority in a single figure, Ignatius sought to "inoculate" the community against the poison of heresy. If the bishop is the sole conduit of apostolic truth, then bypassing him to follow a Gnostic teacher is ipso facto invalid.[2]

2. Apostolic Succession and the Irenaean Defense

Later in the second century, Irenaeus of Lyons further cemented this structure by formulating the doctrine of **Apostolic Succession**. Facing Gnostics who claimed secret lineages of teaching, Irenaeus pointed to the public succession of bishops in the great sees (Rome, Antioch, Ephesus) as the guarantee of truth.[3] The bishop was the holder of the "teaching chair" (*cathedra*), creating a tangible, historical link to the apostles that no heretic could claim. This move inextricably linked *truth* with *office*. Faith was no longer just a personal

conviction; it was adherence to the institution.[3]

B. The "State within a State": Administrative and Economic Power

By the mid-third century, the Church had evolved into a formidable non-state actor. It possessed a sophisticated administration that rivaled the civil bureaucracy of the Empire.

- **The Welfare State:** The Church maintained a treasury (*arca*) funded by voluntary contributions, which supported widows, orphans, the sick, and prisoners. In Rome alone, by the year 250, the church supported over 1,500 qualified widows and distressed persons.[1] This "social safety net" created deep bonds of loyalty among the lower classes, bonds that the Roman state—which offered no such services—could not break.[6]
- **Synodal Governance:** Bishops did not rule in isolation. They developed the practice of regional synods or councils to resolve disputes (e.g., the date of Easter, the treatment of the lapsed). This created a supranational political structure that allowed the Church to act as a unified corporate body across provincial lines, something no pagan cult ever achieved.[7]
- **Communication Networks:** The use of "letters of peace" or "commendatory letters" allowed a Christian traveler to go from Syria to Gaul and find immediate hospitality and support, provided they could show proof of communion with their local bishop. This network was a potent geopolitical tool, fostering a sense of citizenship (*politeia*) that transcended imperial borders.[9]

C. Cyprian and the High Theory of Episcopal Absolutism

The apex of pre-Constantinian ecclesiology is found in Cyprian of Carthage (d. 258 A.D.). A wealthy lawyer and rhetorician before his conversion, Cyprian brought Roman legal and administrative categories into church government. He famously declared *Ecclesia in Episcopo* ("The Church is in the Bishop").[8]

- **The Collegiality of Bishops:** Cyprian argued that there is one episcopate, which is held by each bishop in solidarity. This theory provided a mechanism for unity without (yet) submitting to a single "Pope" in Rome, although the prestige of the Roman see was acknowledged.[8]
- **The Power of the Keys:** Cyprian rigorously defended the bishop's exclusive right to bind and loose sins. This became crucial during the persecution crises, as we shall see, when the institutional hierarchy clashed with the charismatic authority of the martyrs.[12]

Thus, by the eve of the Great Persecution, the Church was not merely a religion; it was a "counter-empire," fully equipped with a hierarchy, a treasury, a legal code (canon law), and a citizenry. It was this "geopolitical aspiration"—the drive to organize the world under the banner of Christ through the agency of the Bishop—that set it on a collision course with the Roman State.[7]

III. Legitimacy and the Legal Basis of Persecution

To the modern mind, the persecution of early Christians often appears as an explosion of irrational religious hatred. However, from the perspective of the Roman state, the suppression of Christianity was a rational, legal, and arguably "political" necessity. The Empire did not possess a concept of "secular" space; religion (*religio*) was the binding tie of society, and the *pax deorum* (peace of the gods) was the foundation of national security.[15]

A. *Religio Licita* vs. *Superstitio*

Roman legal theory distinguished sharply between *religio* and *superstitio*.

- ***Religio*** implied binding obligations to the gods and ancestors. It was ancient, rational, and civic. Judaism, despite its monotheistic exclusivity and occasional rebelliousness, was tolerated as a *religio licita* (approved religion) because it was ancestral. The Jews worshipped the god of their fathers, and Roman conservatism respected antiquity above all else.[15]
- ***Superstitio*** was defined as excessive, irrational, or novel religious fear that destabilized the mind and the social order. Christianity, having severed its ties with the synagogue, lost the protective canopy of Jewish antiquity. It was viewed as a *novella religio*—a new, upstart cult that abandoned ancestral customs.[16]

Because Christians recruited from all nations, forcing converts to abandon their ancestral gods, they were seen as dissolving the social glue of the Empire. This was not just religious deviance; it was social anarchy. Tacitus labeled it a "deadly superstition," and Pliny the Younger called it a "contagious superstition".[18]

B. The Charge of Atheism (*Atheotes*)

The most capital and politically charged accusation against Christians was **atheism**. In the Roman context, this did not mean a philosophical denial of divinity, but a practical refusal to offer cult to the gods who sustained the Empire.[20]

- **The Political Logic of Polytheism:** The Roman state was a theocracy in the sense that its survival depended on the favor of the gods. To refuse sacrifice was to risk alienating these divine protectors. Therefore, the Christian refusal to burn incense to the *Genius* of the Emperor was seen as an act of treason (*maiestas*). It was a withdrawal of consent from the Roman political project.[15]
- **"Hatred of the Human Race":** Tacitus accused Christians of *odium generis humani*. This was likely a misunderstanding of Christian separatism—their refusal to attend the theater, the games, or public festivals, all of which were saturated with pagan rituals. By withdrawing from the shared civic life, Christians were seen as "misanthropes" who hated the civilization that protected them.[15]

C. The Pliny-Trajan Correspondence: Establishing the Legal Precedent

The correspondence between Pliny the Younger (Governor of Bithynia) and Emperor Trajan (c. 112 A.D.) provides the "smoking gun" for the legal basis of persecution.[18] Pliny, unsure how to handle the growing number of Christians, asked the Emperor for clarification on three points:

1. Should age be a factor in punishment?
2. Should pardon be granted for repentance?
3. **The Crucial Question:** Is the punishment for the "Name itself" (*nomen ipsum*) or for the "crimes associated with the Name" (*flagitia cohaerentia nomini*)?

The "crimes" (*flagitia*) referred to popular rumors of cannibalism (Thyestean feasts) and incest (Oedipodean intercourse), driven by misunderstandings of the Eucharist and the "holy kiss".[16] Pliny's investigation, involving the torture of two deaconesses, revealed no such crimes—only a "depraved superstition" and an obstinate refusal to sacrifice.

Trajan's Rescript: The Emperor's reply set the legal standard for the next century:

- **Do not seek them out:** This admission suggests that Christians were not common criminals (murderers or thieves are sought out).
- **If accused and convicted, punish them:** The crime is the *status* of being a Christian.
- **If they sacrifice, pardon them:** This proves that the crime was strictly *contumacia*—the refusal to conform to the state cult. One could "cease" to be a criminal instantly by performing a religious act.[18]

This legal ambiguity—illegal to be, but not worth hunting down—created a precarious existence for the Church, dependent entirely on local mood and the whim of governors.

D. The "Third Race" (*Tertium Genus*) and the Geopolitical Threat

Christian apologists like Aristides, Tertullian, and the author of the *Epistle to Diognetus* inadvertently fueled Roman anxiety by adopting the language of a "Third Race" (*tertium genus*). They divided the world into three groups: Greeks/Romans (polytheists), Jews, and Christians.[25]

- **Political Implications:** By claiming to be a distinct "nation" dispersed throughout all other nations, Christians presented themselves as a universal body that competed with the universal claims of Rome. Rome claimed to be the *Orbis Terrarum* (the world); the Church claimed to be the *Oikoumene* (the inhabited world) of God.[27]
- **Celsus's Critique:** The pagan philosopher Celsus (c. 177 A.D.) clearly identified this geopolitical threat. He attacked Christianity not just as false, but as seditious. He argued that Christians formed a secret association (*hetaeria*) that undermined the laws and separated citizens from their duty to the state. "If everyone were to do the same as you," Celsus warned, "there would be nothing to prevent the king from being left in utter solitude and desertion, and the forces of the empire would fall into the hands of the

wildest and most lawless barbarians".[29] Celsus saw clearly that the "ecclesiastical aspiration" was to create a society where Caesar was no longer supreme.

IV. The Geopolitics of Persecution: From Scapegoat to Systemic Purge

The Roman state's approach to executing Christians evolved in direct response to the Empire's own stability. As the Empire's geopolitical crisis deepened, the persecution of the "internal enemy" became more systematic.

A. Phase I: Localized Reaction (64–249 A.D.)

During the first two centuries, persecution was sporadic, local, and mob-driven.

- **Nero (64 A.D.):** The Neronian persecution was a cynical political maneuver to find a scapegoat for the Great Fire of Rome. It was localized to the city of Rome and established the precedent that Christianity was punishable, but it was not an empire-wide policy of extermination.[15]
- **Mob Violence:** In Lyon (177 A.D.) and Smyrna (155 A.D.), persecution bubbled up from below. Crowds blamed Christians for natural disasters (famine, flood, plague) because of their "atheism." "If the Tiber rises to the walls," Tertullian wrote, "or the Nile does not rise to the fields... straightway the cry is, 'Christians to the lion!'".[23] The state's role was largely reactive, stepping in to restore order (often by executing the "troublemakers").

B. Phase II: The Decian Crisis and the Loyalty Oath (250 A.D.)

The mid-third century marked a catastrophic shift. The Empire faced a "Crisis of the Third Century"—barbarian invasions, civil wars, and economic collapse. Emperor Decius, a conservative traditionalist, interpreted this chaos as the result of the gods' abandonment of Rome.[31]

- **The Edict of 250:** Decius issued a decree requiring *every* inhabitant of the Empire to sacrifice to the gods and the Emperor's genius and to obtain a certificate (*libellus*) proving they had done so. This was not originally a measure specifically targeting Christians; it was a universal loyalty oath designed to reunify the fractured empire through a collective act of *pietas*.[17]
- **Geopolitical Impact:** For the Church, this was devastating. It was no longer a matter of keeping a low profile. Every Christian had to choose between apostasy (sacrificing) or death/exile. The administrative efficiency of the Decian persecution—using census rolls and certificates—demonstrated that the state now viewed religious conformity as a matter of national security.[17]

C. Phase III: The Diocletianic "Great Persecution" (303–311 A.D.)

The final and most severe persecution was explicitly political and ideological. Diocletian reorganized the empire into the **Tetrarchy** (Rule of Four), grounding his authority in a revival of traditional Roman religion. Diocletian took the title *Jovius* (of Jupiter) and his co-emperor Maximian *Herculius* (of Hercules).[34]

- **The Clash of Theologies:** The presence of Christians, particularly in the army and the imperial court, was seen as a spiritual contamination that blocked the omens and angered the patron gods of the Tetrarchy. The refusal of Christian soldiers to sacrifice was a direct challenge to military discipline and the spiritual legitimacy of the regime.[9]
- **The "Final Solution":** The edicts of 303–304 A.D. were a systematic attempt to dismantle the Church as an institution. They targeted:
    1. **Property:** Churches were destroyed, and scriptures were burned.
    2. **Leadership:** Bishops and clergy were arrested.
    3. **Status:** Christians were stripped of legal rights and status, purging the elite.[36]

This was a recognition that the Church had become a rival power structure. The "Great Persecution" was a civil war between the State of Rome and the State of the Church.

V. The Politics of Martyrdom: Faith vs. Ecclesiastical Aspirations

The user asks a critical and nuanced question: *Were the Christians who would not recant always motivated by "faith in Christ," or did it include a willingness to die for the cause of furthering the ecclesiastical aspirations of 'the Church' in a geo-political sense?*

The historical record suggests that these motivations were not mutually exclusive but deeply intertwined. The phenomenon of martyrdom became a contested space where personal faith met institutional ambition.

A. The Motivation of the Individual: Faith and "Necroresistance"

For the individual martyr—Perpetua in Carthage, Polycarp in Smyrna, Sanctus in Lyon—the primary motivation was undoubtedly religious conviction. They viewed their death as an imitation of Christ's passion (*imitatio Christi*), a necessary witness (*martyria*) to the truth of the Gospel.[38]

- **Eschatological Hope:** Martyrs believed that dying for the faith granted immediate access to Paradise, bypassing the "sleep of death" awaiting ordinary believers. It was the "Crown of Life." This was a potent motivator in an age of high mortality.[40]
- **Cosmic War:** Recent scholarship (Boyarin, Castelli) emphasizes that martyrs viewed

themselves as combatants in a cosmic war. The arena was not a place of defeat but a battlefield where they engaged and defeated the Devil (embodied in the Roman magistrate and the beasts). This "necroresistance" was a political act of reclaiming sovereignty: by choosing *how* to die, they denied the state its ultimate power over their bodies.[42]

In this sense, their refusal to recant was an act of "faith," but a faith that was inherently political. It was a declaration that Caesar was *not* Lord (*Kyrios*), and that his laws were invalid when they contravened the laws of God.

B. The Ambition of the Hierarchy: Controlling the "Crown"

However, the ecclesiastical hierarchy often had a more complicated relationship with martyrdom. While the *Church* glorified the *Martyr*, the *Bishop* often feared the *Confessor*.

1. The Conflict of Authority: Bishops vs. Confessors

During the Decian and Valerian persecutions, a severe crisis of authority emerged. Those who survived torture ("Confessors") acquired immense charismatic authority. Popular piety held that the Confessors, having been filled with the Spirit during their trials, had the power to forgive sins.[13]

- **The Lapsed Controversy:** Confessors began issuing *libelli pacis* (certificates of peace) to "lapsed" Christians who had sacrificed, readmitting them to communion without the bishop's permission.
- **Cyprian's Reaction:** Cyprian of Carthage, the great champion of episcopal power, fiercely opposed this. He argued that *only* the bishop, as the duly appointed successor of the apostles, had the "Power of the Keys" to bind and loose. If Confessors could forgive sins based on their own charismatic merit, the entire institutional structure of the Church—and the bishop's monopoly on power—would collapse.[8]

Insight: Here we see a clear divergence. The "Confessor" represented the charismatic, prophetic tradition willing to die for faith and claiming direct authority from God. The "Bishop" represented the geopolitical aspiration of the Church—order, unity, and institutional survival. The hierarchy fought to *subordinate* the glory of the martyr to the authority of the office.

2. Voluntary Martyrdom and Institutional Control

Furthermore, the Church leadership actively discouraged "voluntary martyrdom" (rushing to the authorities to demand execution). The *Martyrdom of Polycarp* and the canons of the Council of Elvira explicitly condemned this practice.[41]

- **Why?** Voluntary martyrs were unpredictable. They often provoked general pogroms that endangered the wider community and its property. The bishops, building a long-term institution ("ecclesiastical aspirations"), preferred a disciplined, surviving flock to a

decimated community of dead heroes. They wanted *obedient* martyrs, not *radical* ones.

C. Ignatius of Antioch: The Intersection of Death and Politics

The most striking example of the fusion of faith and ecclesiastical aspiration is Ignatius of Antioch. On his way to Rome to be eaten by beasts, he wrote letters to various churches. His obsession with martyrdom ("I am God's wheat, ground by the teeth of beasts") is well known.[1]

- **Dying for the Bishop:** Crucially, Ignatius links his martyrdom to his ecclesiology. He argues that his death will be a "ransom" for the church, but he essentially holds the church hostage to his theology of the bishop. He commands the churches to obey their bishops "as the Lord," and implies that his own suffering validates this hierarchical structure.
- **Strategic Martyrdom:** Ignatius's death was not just a personal act of faith; it was a performative act designed to cement the authority of the monarchical episcopate in Asia Minor. He was dying *for* the Church structure as much as for the Savior.[2]

D. From "Sect" to "Empire": The Geopolitical Shift

By the late third century, the motivation for some Christians arguably shifted from purely eschatological expectation (waiting for the end of the world) to a historical determination to endure and conquer the world *as* the Church.

- **Origen's Vision:** Origen of Alexandria, responding to Celsus, argued that Christians were the "true army" of the empire, fighting with prayer. He envisioned a time when the Roman Empire itself might become Christian—a radical shift from the earlier view of Rome as the Antichrist.[46]
- **The Counter-Polis:** Christians died to preserve their "citizenship" in the Church. By the time of Diocletian, this citizenship was a tangible reality with benefits (welfare, status, community). To recant was not just to deny a theological abstraction; it was to be exiled from the only social body that offered security in a collapsing world. The "ecclesiastical aspiration" had succeeded in making the Church a nation worth dying for.

VI. Conclusion

The rise of ecclesiastical power between 70 and 313 A.D. was a masterclass in institutional resilience. Driven by the necessity to survive heresy and persecution, the Church evolved from a loose network of charismatic communities into a rigid "ecclesiastical imperium" governed by bishops who claimed the authority of God.

Legitimacy and Execution: In the eyes of Rome, this evolution was terrifying. The persecution of Christians was not a misunderstanding; it was a rational response to a genuine geopolitical threat. By refusing the *sacra publica*, Christians struck at the heart of Roman legitimacy—the *pax deorum*. By organizing a universal welfare state and a parallel legal

system, they challenged the sovereignty of the Emperor. They were executed not merely for believing in Jesus, but for the political crime of *contumacia*—obstinacy against the State.

Motivation: As for the martyrs, the evidence suggests a complex duality. The vast majority of ordinary believers died out of a sincere, simple faith in Christ and a refusal to worship idols. They were motivated by the hope of the Resurrection, not church politics. However, the *leaders* of the Church—men like Ignatius and Cyprian—understood that the blood of the martyrs was the "seed" of the Church's power. They navigated a delicate path: encouraging the faithful to stand firm (to prove the Church's truth) while suppressing charismatic excess (to preserve the Bishop's authority).

In the end, the Church won the war not by overpowering Rome militarily, but by outlasting it institutionally. When Constantine saw the Cross in the sky, he did not just see a symbol of faith; he saw the emblem of the only organization disciplined and unified enough to save his crumbling Empire. The willingness of the martyrs to die had been transmuted, through the aspirations of the hierarchy, into the foundation of a new Christian Christendom.

Summary of Key Dynamics

Dimension	**Early Church (70-150 A.D.)**	**Mature Ante-Nicene Church (200-300 A.D.)**
Governance	Plurality of Elders (Presbyterian), Charismatic.	Monarchical Episcopate, Regional Synods.
Roman Perception	Jewish Sect, Scapegoat for local disasters.	"State within a State," Universal Geopolitical Threat.
Persecution	Sporadic, Mob-driven, reactive (Trajan).	Systemic, Imperial Edicts, proactive (Decius/Diocletian).
Martyrdom	Voluntary, Apocalyptic, "Imitation of Christ."	Regulated by Bishops, utilized for Institutional Authority.
Aspiration	Waiting for the Parousia (Second Coming).	Building a universal "Counter-Empire" (Catholic Church).

Citations and Sources

- **Ecclesiastical Structure:**.[1]
- **Roman Law & Persecution:**.[15]
- **Political Theology & Celsus:**.[14]
- **Martyrdom & Authority:**.[11]
- **Geopolitics of the Church:**.[6]

Works cited

1. The Development of the Ancient Catholic Hierarchy. A HISTORICAL ES - Log College Press, accessed December 7, 2025, https://caleb-cangelosi-437x.squarespace.com/s/Schaff-Philip-The-Development-of-the-Ancient-Catholilc-Hierarchy-myey.pdf
2. Debating the Monarchical Episcopate - Orthodox Christian Theology, accessed December 7, 2025, https://orthodoxchristiantheology.com/2016/01/02/debating-the-monarchical-episcopate/
3. From Apostles to Bishops: The Development of the Episcopacy in the Early Church (review), accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/236709901_From_Apostles_to_Bishops_The_Development_of_the_Episcopacy_in_the_Early_Church_review
4. Philip Schaff: History of the Christian Church, Volume I: Apostolic Christianity. A.D. 1-100, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.ccel.org/ccel/schaff/hcc1.i.X.61.html
5. CATHOLIC ENCYCLOPEDIA: Hierarchy of the Early Church - New Advent, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.newadvent.org/cathen/07326a.htm
6. Cyprian, Bishop of Carthage and Martyr, 258 - Commemoration, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.dailyoffice2019.com/commemorations/0d096c97-a977-45f0-b4aa-423331c334fd
7. Relationship between Church and State, accessed December 7, 2025, https://christ.org.tw/patristics/relationship_between_church_and_state.htm
8. Cyprian - The Episcopal Church, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.episcopalchurch.org/glossary/cyprian/
9. The Great Persecution and Imperial Ideology: Patterns of Communication on Tetrarchic Coinage (Chapter 8) - Religious Violence in the Ancient World - Cambridge University Press & Assessment, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/religious-violence-in-the-ancient-world/great-persecution-and-imperial-ideology-patterns-of-communication-on-tetrarchic-coinage/041672BD52E5CC96AFCCB3F3567F8AD2
10. History of early Christianity | Beliefs, Characteristics, Organization, & Map - Britannica, accessed December 7, 2025,

https://www.britannica.com/topic/history-of-early-Christianity
11. Will the Real St. Cyprian Please Stand? | Catholic Answers Magazine, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.catholic.com/magazine/print-edition/will-the-real-st-cyprian-please-stand
12. Cyprian - Dictionary of African Christian Biography, accessed December 7, 2025, https://dacb.org/stories/tunisia/cyprian/
13. See how these Christians love one another | Christian History Magazine, accessed December 7, 2025, https://christianhistoryinstitute.org/magazine/article/see-how-these-christians-love
14. Roman Persecution of the Early Christians | Synaptic - Central College, accessed December 7, 2025, https://central.edu/writing-anthology/2019/07/10/roman-persecution-of-the-early-christians/
15. Persecution of Christians in the Roman Empire - Wikipedia, accessed December 7, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Persecution_of_Christians_in_the_Roman_Empire
16. Religious Tolerance and Persecution in the Roman Empire - Teach Democracy, accessed December 7, 2025, https://teachdemocracy.org/online-lesson/religious-tolerance-and-persecution-in-the-roman-empire/
17. Decian persecution - Wikipedia, accessed December 7, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Decian_persecution
18. Pliny the Younger on Christians - Wikipedia, accessed December 7, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pliny_the_Younger_on_Christians
19. Pliny and Trajan on the Christians, accessed December 7, 2025, https://faculty.georgetown.edu/jod/texts/pliny.html
20. Christian Athiests? | Tactical Christianity, accessed December 7, 2025, https://tacticalchristianity.org/christian-athiests/
21. The First Christians Were Atheists??? - It's Like This, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.itslikethis.org/the-first-christians-were-atheists/
22. On the Alleged Atheism of Early Christians | J.W. Wartick - Reconstructing Faith, accessed December 7, 2025, https://jwwartick.com/2015/02/02/early-christians-atheists/
23. Why Early Christians Were Persecuted by the Romans | History Today, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.historytoday.com/archive/causes-early-persecutions
24. Trajan and Pliny on the Christians, accessed December 7, 2025, http://www.ldysinger.com/CH_583_Patr/05_%20mar_ign-pol-per-cyp/01_tml_hadr-traj.htm
25. Christians as a 'Third Race' (Chapter 14) - Christianity in the Second Century, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/christianity-in-the-second-century/christians-as-a-third-race/C13D60622A92E88773FD075B0369C483

26. Harnack 2.7, accessed December 7, 2025, http://ccat.sas.upenn.edu/rak/courses/535/Harnack/bk2ch7.htm
27. Adolf Harnack: Mission and Expansion of Christianity in the First Three Centuries, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.ccel.org/ccel/harnack/mission.iv.viii.html
28. The Charge of Barbarism and Latin Christian Apologetic - Brill, accessed December 7, 2025, https://brill.com/downloadpdf/display/book/9789004306240/BP000005.pdf
29. The True Word - Wikipedia, accessed December 7, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_True_Word
30. Celsus - | AUM Digital Archive, accessed December 7, 2025, https://digitalarchives.aum.edu/sites/default/files/2023-12/Thesis_165_Anderson.pdf
31. The lapsed controversy and Church teaching, accessed December 7, 2025, https://thepriest.com/2021/08/15/the-lapsed-controversy-and-church-teaching/
32. What was the Decian persecution? | GotQuestions.org, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.gotquestions.org/Decian-persecution.html
33. Outbreak of the Decian Persecution | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/religion-and-philosophy/outbreak-decian-persecution
34. Diocletian and the Tetrarchy | Western Civilization - Lumen Learning, accessed December 7, 2025, https://courses.lumenlearning.com/atd-herkimer-westerncivilization/chapter/diocletian-and-the-tetrarchy/
35. Diocletian - Reorganization, Tetrarchy, Edict | Britannica, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/biography/Diocletian/Reorganization-of-the-empire
36. Diocletian's "Great Persecutions": Minority Religions and the Roman Tetrarchy, accessed December 7, 2025, https://history.chass.ncsu.edu/2016/03/17/diocletians-great-persecutions-minority-religions-and-the-roman-tetrarchy/
37. Diocletianic Persecution - Wikipedia, accessed December 7, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Diocletianic_Persecution
38. Christian martyr - Wikipedia, accessed December 7, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Christian_martyr
39. How the Early Church Viewed Martyrs | Christian History Magazine, accessed December 7, 2025, https://christianhistoryinstitute.org/magazine/article/how-early-church-viewed-martyrs
40. On Martyrdom, Suicide, and Christian Bioethics - PMC - NIH, accessed December 7, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7804507/
41. Christian martyrdom: When did Christians stop trying to die for God?, accessed December 7, 2025, https://slate.com/news-and-politics/2012/10/christian-martyrdom-when-did-christians-stop-trying-to-die-for-god.html

42. Early Christian Resistance Through the Rise of Disciplinary Power - Digital Commons @ DU, accessed December 7, 2025, https://digitalcommons.du.edu/context/etd/article/2450/viewcontent/Stefan_denver_0061D_11662.pdf
43. Introduction - Christian Martyrdom and Political Violence - Cambridge University Press & Assessment, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/christian-martyrdom-and-political-violence/introduction/80D91946C19033EEDA2D17AFCD5CA4FE
44. Introduction (Chapter 1) - Constructing Religious Martyrdom, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/constructing-religious-martyrdom/introduction/96137C89BF7230B1E793376893C4CBED
45. Polycarp's Martyrdom | Christian History Institute, accessed December 7, 2025, https://christianhistoryinstitute.org/study/module/polycarp
46. CHURCH FATHERS: Contra Celsum, Book III (Origen) - New Advent, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.newadvent.org/fathers/04163.htm
47. What did Celsus really say? - Biblical Criticism & History Forum, accessed December 7, 2025, https://earlywritings.com/forum/viewtopic.php?t=211
48. Christian Martyrs (Chapter 6) - Eusebius and Empire, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/eusebius-and-empire/christian-martyrs/63CA6581DEAD053DA08E4281886DFAAB
49. Leo XIII: Separation of Church and State | Georgetown University Library, accessed December 7, 2025, https://library.georgetown.edu/woodstock/murray/1953c
50. Early Christian Theologies of Martyrdom - ResearchGate, accessed December 7, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/339279301_Early_Christian_Theologies_of_Martyrdom
51. The Rise to Power: How the Early Church Gained Political Influence - Phylicia Masonheimer, accessed December 7, 2025, https://phyliciamasonheimer.com/the-rise-to-power-how-the-early-church-gained-political-influence/